

## FUND FOR DEVELOPMENT COOPERATION AND HUMANITARIAN AID

## PROJECT APPLICATION ADMINISTRATIVE COMPLIANCE ASSESSMENT FORM

Number assigned to the project application:						
Project title:						
Applicant:						
Concept for which the project application is submitted: (No and title)		□ Concept 1 "Promotion of societal resilience to disinformation and prevention of hybrid threats" □ Concept 2 "Strengthening national and regional media capabilities and resilience to disinformation" □ Concept 3 "Strengthening administrative and institutional capacity in the field of eurointegration in Moldova" □ Concept 4 "Economic and social empowerment of women and girls in Iraq" □ Concept 5 "Digital transformation in Kenya" □ Concept 6 "Strengthening societal resilience to disinformation and hybrid threats" □ Concept 7 "Provision of psychosocial assistance to individuals affected by the war against Ukraine" □ Concept 8 "Strengthening digital and business skills of young people and women in Nigeria, Kenya, and Ghana" □ Concept 9 "Support for civil society"  (Choose the appropriate one)				
Criteria		Yes	No	Comments		
1. Application evaluation criteria when the application cannot be revised.  If a negative answer is given to at least one of these questions, the application is rejected due to non-compliance with the essential conditions and is not specified or evaluated according to point 2 of the evaluation criteria.  The project application and its annexes are filled out according to the project application form, submitted in Lithuanian or English until the application submission						

2 4	Call.  Dication evaluation criteria who	 . 1. 4.	
1.9.	The project implementation period indicated in the application meets the requirements set out in the Terms and Conditions of the		
1.8.	The own contribution of the project applicant and/or partner complies with the Terms and Conditions of the Call.		
1.7.	The amount of funding requested in the application from the Fund is in line with the Terms and Conditions of the Call.		
1.6.	The project application is accompanied by all mandatory documents that are specified in the Terms and Conditions of the Call.		
1.5.	The project application is submitted with a partner from a partner country, or the application is submitted by an entity from a partner country, apart from the exceptions provided for in the Call.		
1.4.	The project application corresponds to the partner states specified in the Call and concept, apart from the exceptions provided for in the Call.		
1.3.	The project application is in line with the area(s) of cooperation identified in the Concept (for which is the project)		
1.2.	The applicant and (or) partner submitted one application per one Concept		
	deadline specified in the Call, in the manner specified in the Terms and Conditions of the Call.		

2.1.	The application is accompanied by all the documents specified in the Terms and Conditions of the Call, other than those specified in p. 1.6.				
2.2.	The applicant and/or partner meets the requirements specified in the Terms and Conditions of the Call, including the special requirements of the concept (if any).  If the own contribution also includes a contribution in kind, the project promoter meets the definition of NGO or Social Partner and only the project promoter and/or partner who meet the definition of NGO or Social Partner contribute in kind.				
_	There are inaccuracies in the application that do not constitute significant obstacles to the assessment of the administrative compliance of the application:  sion application:	<to application,="" identified="" in="" inaccuracies="" specify.="" the="" which<br="">do not constitute significant obstacles to the assessment of the application's administrative compliance, are listed in the comments section, the applicant is not asked to correct them.&gt;</to>			
☐ Meets the administrative compliance eligibility assessment criteria, with conditions: <specify>.</specify>					
☐ Meets the administrative compliance eligibility assessment criteria, but it has minor deficiencies					
(indicate in the remarks part of point 2.3).					
☐ Doe:	s not meet the administrative cor	npliance	eligibility	assessment criteria.	

Position title, name, surname date and signature<sup>1</sup> of the person who assessed

<sup>1</sup> Assessment completion data and signature are not required when signing with an electronic signature.